arXiv:1511.03644v1 [gr-qc] 11 Nov 2015

Hessian and graviton propagator of the proper vertex

Atousa Chaharsough Shirazi*, Jonathan Engle†, and Ilya Vilensky‡

Department of Physics, Florida Atlantic University
777 Glades Road, Boca Raton, FL 33431, USA

November 12, 2015

Abstract

The proper spin-foam vertex amplitude is obtained from the EPRL vertex by projecting out all but a single gravitational sector, in order to achieve correct semi-classical behavior. In this paper we calculate the gravitational two-point function predicted by the proper spin-foam vertex to lowest order in the vertex expansion. We find the same answer as in the EPRL case in the 'continuum spectrum' limit, so that the theory is consistent with the predictions of linearized gravity in the regime of small curvature. The method for calculating the two-point function is similar to that used in prior works: we cast it in terms of an action integral and to use stationary phase methods. Thus, the calculation of the Hessian matrix plays a key role. Once the Hessian is calculated, it is used not only to calculate the two-point function, but also to calculate the coefficient appearing in the semi-classical limit of the proper vertex amplitude itself. This coefficient is the effective discrete "measure factor" encoded in the spin-foam model. Through a non-trivial cancellation of different factors, we find that this coefficient is the same as the coefficient in front of the term in the asymptotics of the EPRL vertex corresponding to the selected gravitational sector.

1 Introduction

Loop quantum gravity (LQG) is an approach to the canonical quantization of general relativity (GR) that takes as its guiding principle that there is no background space-time geometry, but rather that gravity is geometry. The path integral approach to the dynamics of LQG leads to the *spin-foam* framework. The currently most used spin-foam model of the dynamics of LQG is the so-called *Engle-Pereira-Rovelli-Livine* (EPRL) model [1–3]. One difficulty with this and all spin-foam models before it is that, due to the inclusion of more than a single gravitational sector [4,5], solutions to the classical equations of motion of GR fail to dominate in the semi-classical limit [6, 7]. This problem was solved by quantum mechanically imposing restriction to a single gravitational sector, yielding what has been called the 'proper' spin-foam model of quantum gravity [6, 7].

*achahars@fau.edu

†jonathan.engle@fau.edu

‡ivilenskiy2013@fau.edu

However, this revised model of quantum gravity, like any proposed model of quantum gravity, must pass certain tests. For example, in cases where the space-time curvature is small, one expects linearized quantum gravity to be correct – current experiments such as BICEP and Planck have the potential to test this expectation [8, 9]. As a consequence, in this regime, one expects full quantum gravity to reproduce the predictions of the linearized theory. In the present paper, as in the prior papers [10, 11], we specifically consider the *two-point correlation function* of the gravitational field, also referred to in the literature as the 'graviton propagator' [10–16]. The EPRL model was shown to reproduce the same two-point correlation function as linearized gravity in prior work by Bianchi, Magliaro, Perini, and Ding [10,11]. In the present paper we show that this success of the EPRL model extends to the proper vertex. This involves casting the appropriate amplitude in an integral form with an action, and finding the Hessian of the action. We restrict consideration to the Lorentzian signature version of the model [7, 17, 18], as this is the physically relevant one.

For the propagator it turns out that, in the same asymptotic limit considered in [10,11], one obtains the same answer as for EPRL, so that the proper vertex continues to be consistent with linearized gravity to lowest order in the vertex expansion. However, when more than one vertex (simplex) is considered, due to the considerations in [6, 7], we expect the EPRL and proper vertex amplitudes to yield different propagators, with only the proper vertex being consistent with linearized gravity. This will be investigated in future work and is touched upon briefly in the discussion section of this paper.

A further benefit of the calculation of this Hessian is that it permits an easy determination of the coefficient in front of the semi-classical limit of the proper vertex amplitude derived in [18], important for understanding the effective 'measure factor' of the model [19, 20]. We show that this coefficient is exactly the same as the coefficient of the term in the semi-classical limit of the EPRL vertex amplitude corresponding to the isolated gravitational sector. This comes about through a non-trivial cancellation of the new factors in the determinant of the Hessian and in the integration measure for the additional variables present in the integral form of the proper vertex amplitude.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the EPRL vertex amplitude, proper vertex amplitude, and graviton propagator calculation for EPRL are briefly summarized. In section 3, the modifications to the strategy for calculating the graviton propagator required for the proper vertex are presented. In section 4, all new components of the Hessian not present in the original EPRL work are calculated. In section 5 the resulting expression for the propagator in the 'continuum spectrum' limit is given. Finally, in section 6, the determinant of the Hessian is calculated, thereby providing the coefficient in the asymptotics of the proper vertex amplitude. We then close with a discussion of these results and future work.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we briefly recall the prior constructions and results required for the present paper, and fix conventions.

2.1 EPRL vertex

We start by recalling the $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ EPRL vertex amplitude, defined on a given oriented 4-simplex. The tetrahedra are numbered from 0 to 4 in a manner consistent with the fixed orientation of the 4-simplex in the sense defined in [4]. The relevant boundary Hilbert space is spanned by $SU(2)$ spin networks Ψ labelled by spins j_{ab} and vectors ψ_{ab}, ψ_{ba} in the corresponding irreducible representation of $SU(2)$, and given explicitly by $\Psi(g_a) = \sum_{a<b} \langle \psi_{ab} | g_a^{-1} g_b | \psi_{ba} \rangle$, where a, b run from 0 to 4.

The principal series of irreducible representations $\mathcal{H}_{k,p}$ of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ are labelled by real numbers p and half-integers k. Each such $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ irreducible representation $\mathcal{H}_{k,p}$ can be decomposed into a

direct sum of $SU(2)$ irreducibles $\mathcal{H}_j$: $\mathcal{H}_{k,p} = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{k+n}$.

The amplitude for a single 4-simplex is then given by:

$$A_v = \int_{SL(2,\mathbb{C})^5} \delta(g_0) \prod_a dg_a \prod_{a<b} \mathcal{P}_{ab} \tag{1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_{ab} = \alpha(\mathcal{I}\psi_{ab}, g_a^{-1} g_b \mathcal{I}\psi_{ba})$$

is defined for each triangle (ab). Here α is the invariant bilinear form satisfying $\alpha(\psi,\phi) = (-1)^{2k}\alpha(\phi,\psi)$ [21]. The map $\mathcal{I}$ embeds the spin j irrep into the lowest $SU(2)$ irrep in decomposition of $\mathcal{H}_{k,\gamma k}$. Using the (skew-)symmetry of $\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)$, it follows that the resulting amplitude (1) is independent of the choice of the tetrahedra, so long as this numbering is consistent with the fixed 4-simplex orientation.

The spin j representation of $SU(2)$ can be realized as the action of $SU(2)$ on degree $2j$ polynomials of a spinor $z^A \in \mathbb{C}^2$ [17,21] where $A = 0, 1$. In terms of this realization, for each spin j and spinor ξ, one can define the coherent state C^j_ξ:

$$C^j_\xi(z) = \sqrt{\frac{d_j}{\pi}} \langle \bar{\xi}, z\rangle^{2j}$$

where $\langle w, z\rangle = \bar{w}_0 z_0 + \bar{w}_1 z_1$ and $d_j = 2j+1$. Furthermore, every spinor ξ is a coherent state associated with a vector n_ξ defined by $(n_\xi \cdot \sigma)\xi = \xi$. The map J

$$J \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 \\ \xi_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{\xi}_1 \\ \bar{\xi}_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

applied to ξ yields a spinor satisfying $n_{J\xi} = -n_\xi$.

$\mathcal{H}_{k,p}$ can similarly be realized as a space of homogeneous functions of a spinor z^A. Using the explicit expression for $\mathcal{I}$ and $\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)$ [21], each $\mathcal{P}_{ab}$ can be expanded as an integral over an element $[z_{ab}]$ of $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Here $[z]$ denotes the equivalence class in $\mathbb{CP}^1$ of a spinor z, that is, modulo rescaling by $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. One obtains

$$A_v = c \int_{SL(2,\mathbb{C})^5} \delta(g_0) \left(\prod_a dg_a \right) \int_{(\mathbb{CP}^1)^{10}} \prod_{a<b} d\mu_{z_{ab}} e^S$$

where $c := \frac{(1+\gamma^2)^5}{(\pi(1-i\gamma))^{10}}$ and $d\mu_{z_{ab}} := \frac{-d_{j_{ab}} \Omega_{z_{ab}}}{\langle Z_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle \langle Z_{ba}, Z_{ba}\rangle}$, with $\Omega_z := \frac{i}{2}(\epsilon_{AB} z^A dz^B) \wedge (\epsilon_{AB} \bar{z}^A d\bar{z}^B)$ and $\epsilon_{AB} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Here the action above is given by:

$$S = \sum_{a<b} j_{ab} \left(\log \frac{\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle^2 \langle Z_{ba}, \xi_{ba}\rangle^2}{\langle Z_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle \langle Z_{ba}, Z_{ba}\rangle} + i\gamma \log \frac{\langle Z_{ba}, Z_{ba}\rangle}{\langle Z_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle} \right)$$

with[1] $Z_{ab} = g_a^\dagger z_{ab}$, $Z_{ba} = g_b^\dagger z_{ab}$.

[1]Here we are using the convention in [11] for defining z_{ab}. By a change of variables to $\tilde{z}_{ab} = J z_{ab}$ we get the convention used in [17].

2.2 Proper vertex

As shown in [21] the EPRL amplitude has two terms in the semi-classical limit. In [6, 7, 17, 18] the proper vertex amplitude was introduced and it was shown that its semi-classical limit contains only one term in which the Regge action appears with positive sign. This amplitude is given by:

$$A_v^{(+)} = \int_{SL(2,\mathbb{C})^5} \delta(g_0) \left(\prod_a dg_a \right) \prod_{a<b} \alpha(\mathcal{I}\psi_{ab}, g_a^{-1} g_b \mathcal{I}\Pi_{ba}(\{g_{ab}\})\psi_{ba}) \tag{2}$$

where the projector Π_{ba} is

$$\Pi_{ba}[g_{ab}] = \Pi_{(0,\infty)} \left[\beta_{ba}[g] \mathrm{tr} \left(\sigma_i g_{ba} g_{ba}^\dagger \right) L^i \right].$$

Here $g_{ba} = g_b^{-1} g_a$, L^i is the rotation generator in the spin j_{ab} representation of $SU(2)$,

$$\beta_{ba}[g] = \mathrm{sgn} \left(\epsilon_{ijk} n^i_{bc} n^j_{bd} n^k_{be} \epsilon_{lmn} n^l_{ac} n^m_{ad} n^n_{ae} \right)$$

where $\{c, d, e\} = \{0, \ldots, 4\} \backslash \{a, b\}$, and

$$n^i_{ba}[g] = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}(\sigma^i g_{ba} g_{ba}^\dagger).$$

Again, the amplitude is independent of the choice of numbering of the tetrahedra, so long as the numbering is consistent with the fixed orientation of the 4-simplex. This follows from the (skew-)symmetry of $\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$ together with the proof of theorem 6 in [17]. Using theorem 6 in [17] and choosing the boundary states to be coherent states, this amplitude becomes

$$A_v^{(+)} = \int_{SL(2,\mathbb{C})^5} \delta(g_0) \left(\prod_a dg_a \right) \prod_{a<b} \alpha(\mathcal{I}\Pi_{ab}(\{g_{ab}\}) C^{j_{ab}}_{\xi_{ab}}, g_a^{-1} g_b \mathcal{I} C^{j_{ab}}_{\xi_{ba}}). \tag{3}$$

In order to separate the projectors from the rest of the integrand, a resolution of the identity introducing spinor variables η_{ab}

$$\Pi_{ab} C_{\xi_{ab}} = \int d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{ab}} C_{\hat{\eta}_{ab}} (C_{\hat{\eta}_{ab}}, \Pi_{ab} C_{\xi_{ab}}) \tag{4}$$

is inserted for each factor in (3), where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the hermitian inner product on the relevant irrep of SU(2) to which its arguments belong and $\hat{\eta} = \eta / \|\eta\| := \eta / \sqrt{\langle \eta, \eta \rangle}$. This yields

$$A_v^{(+)} = \int_{SL(2,\mathbb{C})^5} \delta(g_0) \left(\prod_a dg_a \right) \prod_{a<b} d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{ab}} \alpha(\mathcal{I} C^{j_{ab}}_{\hat{\eta}_{ab}}, g_a^{-1} g_b \mathcal{I} C^{j_{ab}}_{\xi_{ba}}) (C^{j_{ab}}_{\hat{\eta}_{ab}}, \Pi_{ab}(\{g\}) C^{ab}_{\xi_{ab}})$$

with $d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{ab}} = \frac{d_{j_{ab}}}{\pi} \Omega_{\hat{\eta}_{ab}}$. Note $\Omega_{\hat{\eta}} = \Omega_\eta / \|\eta\|^4$. Rewriting each inner product in terms of an integral over a spinor z_{ab} as before, we obtain the integral representation

$$A_v^{(+)} = \int \delta(g_0) \left(\prod_a dg_a \right) \int \prod_{a<b} d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{ab}} d\mu_{z_{ab}} e^{S_{\mathrm{prop}}} \tag{5}$$

with action given by

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\mathrm{prop}} &= S_{\mathrm{EPRL}} + S_\Pi \\
S_{\mathrm{EPRL}} &= \sum_{a<b} S^{ab}_{EPRL} := \sum_{a<b} \left(j_{ab} \log \frac{\langle J\hat{\eta}_{ab}, Z_{ab} \rangle^2 \langle Z_{ba}, \xi_{ba} \rangle^2}{\langle Z_{ab}, Z_{ab} \rangle \langle Z_{ba}, Z_{ba} \rangle} + i\gamma j_{ab} \log \frac{\langle Z_{ba}, Z_{ba} \rangle}{\langle Z_{ab}, Z_{ab} \rangle} \right) \\
S_\Pi &= \sum_{a<b} S^{ab}_\Pi := \sum_{a<b} \log (C_{\hat{\eta}_{ab}}, \Pi_{ab}(\{g_{ab}\}) C_{\xi_{ab}})_{j_{ab}}
\end{aligned}$$

2.3 Graviton propagator

The 'graviton propagator' predicted by a given model of quantum gravity is the connected two-point correlation function of the 'metric operator' $q^{ab}(x)$. The (densitized) metric operator is defined as $q^{ab}(x) := \delta^{ij}(E^a_n)_i(E^b_n)_j$, where point x is identified with a node n of the boundary spin network or equivalently with a tetrahedron n of the triangulation, and $(E^a_n)_i$ is the flux operator through a surface dual to the triangle between the tetrahedra a and n, in the frame of tetrahedron n.[2] In [11] the graviton propagator for the Lorentzian EPRL spinfoam model with a single spinfoam vertex is calculated and its asymptotics is studied. We will calculate the spinfoam propagator in section 3 using the same framework as [11] but with the proper vertex amplitude defined in section 2.2. Here we briefly recall the framework of [11], generalized to an arbitrary spin-foam model with boundary states matching loop quantum gravity, as well as recalling the results of [11].

The spinfoam propagator is defined by the expression

$$G^{abcd}(x,y) = \frac{\langle W|q^{ab}(x)q^{cd}(y)|\Psi_o\rangle}{\langle W|\Psi_o\rangle} - \frac{\langle W|q^{ab}(x)|\Psi_o\rangle}{\langle W|\Psi_o\rangle}\frac{\langle W|q^{cd}(y)|\Psi_o\rangle}{\langle W|\Psi_o\rangle} \tag{6}$$

where $\langle W|$ is the amplitude map of the spinfoam model in question and $|\Psi_o\rangle$ is a Lorentzian semi-classical boundary state peaked both on intrinsic and extrinsic geometry and is constructed as follows. The Lorentzian coherent spin network states $|j_{ab}, \Upsilon_a(\{\vec{n}_{ab}\})\rangle$, peaked on a choice of *intrinsic* boundary geometry, are labeled by a set of spins j_{ab} and Lorentzian coherent intertwiners $\Upsilon_a \in \mathrm{Inv}\otimes_{b:b\neq a}\mathcal{H}_{j_{ab}}$. Each Lorentzian coherent intertwiner $\Upsilon_a(\{\vec{n}_{ab}\})$ is determined by a set of four unit 3-vectors $\{\vec{n}_{ab}\}_{b:b\neq a}$ via

$$\Upsilon_a(\{\vec{n}_{ab}\}) = \exp\left(-i\sum_{a<b}\Theta_{ab}j_{ab}\right)\int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} dh \bigotimes_{b:b\neq a} h|j_{ab},\vec{n}_{ab}\rangle \tag{7}$$

where $|j_{ab},\vec{n}_{ab}\rangle$ denote the coherent states $C^j_{\xi_{ab}}$ introduced in section 2.1, with the spinors ξ_{ab} chosen such that (1.) they are unit, (2.) $n_{\xi_{ab}} = n_{ab}$, and (3.) they satisfy the Regge state phase condition [21]. $e^{-i\sum_{a<b}\Theta_{ab}j_{ab}}$ is called Lorentzian-geometry phase with $\Theta_{ab} = \pi$ for thin wedges and $\Theta_{ab} = 0$ for thick wedges[3]. The Lorentzian semi-classical state $|\Psi_o\rangle$ is then defined by a superposition of Lorentzian coherent spin network states:

$$|\Psi_o\rangle = \sum_{j_{ab}} \psi_{j_o,\phi_o}(j)|j_{ab},\Upsilon_a(\vec{n})\rangle \tag{8}$$

with coefficients given by a Gaussian times a phase,

$$\psi_{j_o,\phi_o}(j) = \exp\left(-\sum_{ab,cd}\gamma\alpha^{(ab)(cd)}\frac{j_{ab}-(j_0)_{ab}}{\sqrt{(j_0)_{ab}}}\frac{j_{cd}-(j_0)_{cd}}{\sqrt{(j_0)_{cd}}} - i\sum_{ab}\gamma\phi^{ab}_0(j_{ab}-(j_0)_{ab})\right) \tag{9}$$

---

[2] At each n, $E^a_n\cdot E^b_n$ has 6 independent components since $a\neq b$ and $a,b = \{0,...4\}\setminus\{n\}$. Therefore, $E^a_n\cdot E^b_n$ can completely determine the 3-metric $h(n)_{\mu\nu}$ which is a 3×3 symmetric matrix that also has 6 independent components. Explicitly, if V^μ_{na} denotes the tangent to the link na at the node n, then $\{V^\mu_{na}\}$ spans the tangent space at n, and for $a\neq b$, $h(n)_{\mu\nu}V^\mu_{na}V^\nu_{nb} = E^a_n\cdot E^b_n$ whereas for the diagonal components

$$h(n)_{\mu\nu}V^\mu_{na}V^\nu_{na} = h(n)_{\mu\nu}V^\mu_{na}\left(\sum_{c\neq a,n}\lambda_c V^\nu_{nc}\right) = \sum_{c\neq a,n}\lambda_c h(n)_{\mu\nu}V^\mu_{na}V^\nu_{nc} = \sum_{c\neq a,n}\lambda_c E^a_n\cdot E^b_n$$

[3] All tetrahedra are assumed to be space-like so their normals are time-like. A wedge composed by two tetrahedra a and b that share the triangle (ab) is called thick wedge if their outward timelike normals are both future-pointing or both past-pointing otherwise called thin wedge.

where ϕ_o^{ab} is the dihedral angle between tetrahedron a and b, representing the simplicial extrinsic curvature, $(j_o)_{ab}$ are the background spins on which the Gaussian is peaked, and $\alpha^{(ab)(cd)}$ is a 10×10 matrix assumed to be complex with positive definite real part.

In work [11] the above framework was applied to the case of the EPRL model. There it was shown that, in the limit $j_o \to \infty$ and $\gamma \to 0$ [22], the EPRL propagator matches the result from linearized gravity.

3 Graviton propagator of the proper vertex

3.1 Exact expression

Following a procedure similar to that in [11] we want to calculate the propagator for the proper vertex reviewed in section 2.2. In this case the propagator (6) becomes

$$G^{abcd+}_{nm} = \frac{\sum_j \psi(j)\langle W_+|E^a_n.E^b_nE^c_m.E^d_m|j,\Upsilon(\vec{n})\rangle}{\sum_j \psi(j)\langle W_+|j,\Upsilon(\vec{n})\rangle} - \frac{\sum_j \psi(j)\langle W_+|E^a_n.E^b_n|j,\Upsilon(\vec{n})\rangle}{\sum_j \psi(j)\langle W_+|j,\Upsilon(\vec{n})\rangle}\frac{\sum_j \psi(j)\langle W_+|E^c_m.E^d_m|j,\Upsilon(\vec{n})\rangle}{\sum_j \psi(j)\langle W_+|j,\Upsilon(\vec{n})\rangle}$$

where (8) are used as boundary states and $\langle W_+| : |\Psi\rangle \mapsto \langle W_+|\Psi\rangle$ is the proper vertex amplitude defined by (2). The indices are restricted to $a,b,c,d \neq n,m$ and $n \neq m$. As mentioned in the preliminaries, the numbering of the tetrahedra is arbitrary up to the orientation it defines on the 4-simplex, and the vertex amplitude is independent of the choice of such a numbering. Using this arbitrariness, we can, without loss of generality, assume $a,b<n$ and $c,d<m$. $(E^a_n)^i$ is in the frame of tetrahedron n and for $a<n$ acts on the right hand-side of the corresponding factor in the expression (3) for the proper vertex amplitude. Using this expression and the formulas (7-9) for the boundary state, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\langle W_+ \mid E^a_n.E^b_n \mid j,\Upsilon(\xi)\rangle = \int_{SL(2,\mathbb{C})^5} e^{-i\sum_{ab}\Theta_{ab}j_{ab}} \left(\prod_{a=0}^{4} dg_a\right)\delta(g_0) \\ \left(\prod_{p<q,(pq)\neq(na),(pq)\neq(nb)} \alpha(\mathcal{I}\Pi_{pq}(\{g\})C^{j_{cd}}_{\xi_{pq}}, g_p^{-1}g_q\mathcal{I}C^{j_{pq}}_{\xi_{qp}})\right)\alpha(\mathcal{I}\Pi_{an}(\{g\})C^{j_{an}}_{\xi_{an}}, g_a^{-1}g_n\mathcal{I}(E^a_n)^iC^{j_{na}}_{\xi_{na}}) \\ \alpha(\mathcal{I}\Pi_{bn}(\{g\})C^{j_{bn}}_{\xi_{bn}}, g_b^{-1}g_n\mathcal{I}(E^b_n)^iC^{j_{nb}}_{\xi_{nb}}). \qquad (10)\end{aligned}$$

In order to separate the projector in the formulas we insert the resolution of the identity (4) in terms of new spinor variables η_{cd}:

$$\alpha(\mathcal{I}\Pi_{pq}(\{g\})C^{j_{pq}}_{\xi_{pq}}, g_p^{-1}g_q\mathcal{I}C^{j_{pq}}_{\xi_{qp}}) = \int d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{pq}}\alpha(\mathcal{I}C^{j_{pq}}_{\hat{\eta}_{pq}}, g_p^{-1}g_q\mathcal{I}C^{j_{pq}}_{\xi_{qp}})(C^{j_{pq}}_{\hat{\eta}_{pq}}, \Pi_{pq}(\{g\})C^{j_{pq}}_{\xi_{pq}}).$$

and

$$\alpha(\mathcal{I}\Pi_{an}(\{g\})C^{j_{an}}_{\xi_{an}}, g_a^{-1}g_n(E^a_n)^i\mathcal{I}C^{j_{na}}_{\xi_{na}}) = \int d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{an}}\alpha(\mathcal{I}C^{j_{an}}_{\hat{\eta}_{an}}, g_a^{-1}g_n(E^a_n)^i\mathcal{I}C^{j_{na}}_{\xi_{na}})(C^{j_{an}}_{\hat{\eta}_{an}}, \Pi_{an}(\{g\})C^{j_{an}}_{\xi_{an}})$$

Therefore, (10) becomes

$$\langle W_+ \mid E^a_n.E^b_n \mid j, \Upsilon(\xi)\rangle = \int_{SL(2,\mathbb{C})^5} e^{-i\sum_{ab}\Theta_{ab}j_{ab}} \left(\prod_{a=0}^{4} dg_a\right)\delta(g_0)\delta_{ij}\cdot$$
$$\cdot \prod_{p<q,(pq)\neq(na),(pq)\neq(nb)} \int d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{pq}}\alpha(\mathcal{I}C^{j_{pq}}_{\hat{\eta}_{pq}}, g_p^{-1}g_q\mathcal{I}C^{j_{pq}}_{\xi_{qp}})(C^{j_{pq}}_{\hat{\eta}_{pq}}, \Pi_{pq}(\{g\})C^{j_{pq}}_{\xi_{pq}})\cdot$$
$$\cdot \int d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{an}}\alpha(\mathcal{I}C^{j_{an}}_{\hat{\eta}_{an}}, g_a^{-1}g_n(E^a_n)^i\mathcal{I}C^{j_{na}}_{\xi_{na}})(C^{j_{an}}_{\hat{\eta}_{an}}, \Pi_{an}(\{g\})C^{j_{an}}_{\xi_{an}})\cdot$$
$$\cdot \int d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{bn}}\alpha(\mathcal{I}C^{j_{bn}}_{\hat{\eta}_{bn}}, g_b^{-1}g_n(E^b_n)^j\mathcal{I}C^{j_{nb}}_{\xi_{nb}})(C^{j_{bn}}_{\hat{\eta}_{bn}}, \Pi_{bn}(\{g\})C^{j_{bn}}_{\xi_{bn}}).$$

The insertions $Q^i_{an} = \alpha(\mathcal{I}C^{j_{an}}_{\hat{\eta}_{an}}, g_a^{-1}g_n(E^a_n)^i\mathcal{I}C^{j_{na}}_{\xi_{na}})$ are evaluated in [11] as[4]

$$Q^i_{an} = \int \frac{1}{\pi} d\mu_{z_{an}} K_{an}(A^i_{an})$$

where

$$K_{an} = \left(\frac{\langle Z_{na}, Z_{na}\rangle}{\langle Z_{an}, Z_{an}\rangle}\right)^{i\gamma j_{an}} \left(\frac{\langle J\hat{\eta}_{an}, Z_{an}\rangle^2\langle Z_{na}, \xi_{na}\rangle^2}{\langle Z_{an}, Z_{an}\rangle\langle Z_{na}, Z_{na}\rangle}\right)^{j_{an}}$$

and

$$A^i_{an} \equiv \gamma j_{an}\frac{\langle \sigma^i Z_{na}, \xi_{na}\rangle}{\langle Z_{na}, \xi_{na}\rangle}.$$

Using these results one gets

$$\langle W_+ \mid E^a_n.E^b_n \mid j, \Upsilon(\xi)\rangle = c\int_{SL(2,\mathbb{C})^5} \left(\prod_{a=0}^{4} dg_a\right)\delta(g_0)\cdot$$
$$\cdot \int \left(\prod_{a'<b'} \frac{d_{j_{a'b'}}}{\pi} d\mu_{z_{a'b'}} d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{a'b'}}\right) q^{ab}_n e^{S'_{prop}}$$

and

$$\langle W_+ \mid E^a_n.E^b_nE^c_m.E^d_m \mid j, \Upsilon(\xi)\rangle = c\int_{SL(2,\mathbb{C})^5} \left(\prod_{a=0}^{4} dg_a\right)\delta(g_0)\cdot$$
$$\cdot \int \left(\prod_{a'<b'} \frac{d_{j_{a'b'}}}{\pi} d\mu_{z_{a'b'}} d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{a'b'}}\right) q^{ab}_n q^{cd}_n e^{S'_{prop}}$$

where S'_{prop} and the q's are respectively given by

$$S'_{prop} := S_{prop} - i\sum_{a<b}\Theta_{ab}j_{ab} = S_{EPRL} + S_\Pi - i\sum_{a<b}\Theta_{ab}j_{ab}$$
$$q^{ab}_n := A^a_n.A^b_n \tag{11}$$

[4]Actually, the insertions that are calculated in [11] are $Q^i_{an} = \alpha(\mathcal{I}C^{j_{an}}_{\xi_{an}}, g_a^{-1}g_n(E^a_n)^i\mathcal{I}C^{j_{na}}_{\xi_{na}})$. By replacing ξ_{an} with $\hat{\eta}_{an}$ on the left-hand side we get our desired result.

The q_n^{ab}'s turn out to be the same as in the EPRL case [11], but here the EPRL action is replaced by the proper action. So, using (8), the final expression for the propagator becomes

$$G_{nm}^{abcd+} = \frac{\sum_j \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta q_n^{ab} q_m^{cd} e^{S^{tot}_{prop}}}{\sum_j \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta e^{S^{tot}_{prop}}} - \frac{\sum_j \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta q_n^{ab} e^{S^{tot}_{prop}}}{\sum_j \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta e^{S^{tot}_{prop}}} \frac{\sum_j \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta q_m^{cd} e^{S^{tot}_{prop}}}{\sum_j \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta e^{S^{tot}_{prop}}} \tag{12}$$

where

$$S^{tot}_{prop}(j,g,z,\eta) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ab,cd}\gamma\alpha^{(ab)(cd)}\frac{j_{ab}-(j_0)_{ab}}{\sqrt{(j_0)_{ab}}}\frac{j_{cd}-(j_0)_{cd}}{\sqrt{(j_0)_{cd}}} - i\sum_{ab}\gamma\phi_0^{ab}(j_{ab}-(j_0)_{ab}) - i\sum_{a<b}\Theta_{ab}j_{ab} + S_{EPRL} + S_\Pi. \tag{13}$$

Notice that the coefficients $\psi(j)$ are absorbed in to the total action.

3.2 Asymptotic limit

As in [11], to pose the asymptotic limit, we scale all of the spins $(j_o)_{ab}$ uniformly, setting $(j_o)_{ab} =: \lambda(\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab}$, with $(\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab}$ fixed, and consider the limit $\lambda \to \infty$. In addition, in each sum in (12) we perform a change of variables from j_{ab} to $\mathrm{j}_{ab} := j_{ab}/\lambda$. S^{tot}_{prop} then becomes

$$S^{tot}_{prop} = \lambda\left[\tilde{S}_\psi + \tilde{S}_{EPRL}\right] + \sum_{a<b} S^\Pi_{ab}$$

where

$$\tilde{S}_\psi := -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ab,cd}\gamma\alpha^{(ab)(cd)}\frac{\mathrm{j}_{ab}-(\mathrm{j}_0)_{ab}}{\sqrt{(\mathrm{j}_0)_{ab}}}\frac{\mathrm{j}_{cd}-(\mathrm{j}_0)_{cd}}{\sqrt{(\mathrm{j}_0)_{cd}}} - i\gamma\sum_{a<b}\phi_o^{ab}(\mathrm{j}_{ab}-(\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab}) - i\sum_{a<b}\Theta_{ab}\mathrm{j}_{ab}$$

and $\tilde{S}_{EPRL} := \sum_{a<b}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{EPRL}$ is the same as S_{EPRL} but with j_{ab} replaced by j_{ab}. The projector part of the total action (13), $\sum_{a<b} S^{ab}_\Pi$, is not linear in λ but it *is asymptotically linear*. Specifically, let ν_{ab} be any unit spinor such that

$$\mathbf{n}_{\nu_{ab}} = \langle\nu_{ab}, \sigma\nu_{ab}\rangle = \beta_{ab}[g]\frac{\mathrm{tr}(g_{ab}g_{ab}^\dagger\sigma)}{|\mathrm{tr}(g_{ab}g_{ab}^\dagger\sigma)|},$$

and let

$$x_{ab} := \langle\eta_{ab}, \nu_{ab}\rangle\langle\nu_{ab}, \xi_{ab}\rangle \qquad y_{ab} := \langle\eta_{ab}, J\nu_{ab}\rangle\langle J\nu_{ab}, \xi_{ab}\rangle.$$

Then we have [18]

$$\exp(S^{ab}_\Pi) \sim \tilde{f}_{ab}\exp(\lambda\tilde{S}^{ab}_\Pi)$$

where

$$\tilde{S}^{ab}_\Pi := \begin{cases} 2\mathrm{j}\log(x_{ab}+y_{ab}) & \text{if } |x_{ab}|>|y_{ab}| \text{ and } |x_{ab}+y_{ab}|^2 \geq |4x_{ab}y_{ab}| \\ \mathrm{j}\log(4x_{ab}y_{ab}) & \text{if } |x_{ab}|<|y_{ab}| \text{ or } |x_{ab}+y_{ab}|^2 < |4x_{ab}y_{ab}| \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{f}_{ab} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x_{ab}|>|y_{ab}| \text{ and } |x_{ab}+y_{ab}|^2 \geq |4x_{ab}y_{ab}| \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\lambda\mathrm{j}_{ab}}}\frac{x_{ab}^{1-\alpha_{ab}}y_{ab}^{\alpha_{ab}}}{y_{ab}-x_{ab}} & \text{if } |x_{ab}|<|y_{ab}| \text{ or } |x_{ab}+y_{ab}|^2 < |4x_{ab}y_{ab}| \end{cases}$$

and

$$\alpha_{ab} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j_{ab} = \lambda \mathrm{j}_{ab} \text{ is integer} \\ 1/2 & \text{if } j_{ab} = \lambda \mathrm{j}_{ab} \text{ is half integer.} \end{cases}$$

Lastly, let $\tilde{q}_n^{ab}$ be the same as q_n^{ab}, but with j_{ab} replaced by j_{ab}, so that $q_n^{ab} = \lambda^2 \tilde{q}_n^{ab}$. With the above definitions, the asymptotic limit of (12) is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda^4} G^{abcd+}_{nm}(\lambda) \sim & \frac{\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta \tilde{f} \tilde{q}_n^{ab} \tilde{q}_m^{cd} e^{\lambda \tilde{S}}}{\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta \tilde{f} e^{\lambda \tilde{S}}} \\ & - \frac{\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta \tilde{f} \tilde{q}_n^{ab} e^{\lambda \tilde{S}}}{\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta \tilde{f} e^{\lambda \tilde{S}}} \frac{\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta \tilde{f} \tilde{q}_m^{cd} e^{\lambda \tilde{S}}}{\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta \tilde{f} e^{\lambda \tilde{S}}} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where

$$\tilde{f} := \prod_{a<b} \tilde{f}_{ab} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tilde{S} := \tilde{S}_\psi + \tilde{S}_{EPRL} + \tilde{S}_\Pi \tag{15}$$

with $\tilde{S}_\Pi = \sum_{a<b} \tilde{S}_\Pi^{ab}$. Using the Euler-Maclaurin formula for each of the sums in (14), as well as the fact that each resulting integral is asymptotic to a non-zero polynomial in λ (as will be seen below from the fact that each one has one critical point) one obtains, similar to [11],[5]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda^4} G^{abcd+}_{nm}(\lambda) \sim & \frac{\int_j \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta \tilde{f} \tilde{q}_n^{ab} \tilde{q}_m^{cd} e^{\lambda \tilde{S}}}{\int_j \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta \tilde{f} e^{\lambda \tilde{S}}} \\ & - \frac{\int_j \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta \tilde{f} \tilde{q}_n^{ab} e^{\lambda \tilde{S}}}{\int_j \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta \tilde{f} e^{\lambda \tilde{S}}} \frac{\int_j \int d^4d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta \tilde{f} \tilde{q}_m^{cd} e^{\lambda \tilde{S}}}{\int_j \int d^4g d^{10}\mu_z d^{10}\tilde{\mu}_\eta \tilde{f} e^{\lambda \tilde{S}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Critical point equations obtained from maximality, and by varying z_{ab} and η_{ab} are [18]:

$$\xi_{ba} = \frac{e^{i\phi_{ba}}}{\|Z_{ba}\|} g_b^\dagger z_{ab} =: \frac{e^{i\phi_{ba}}}{\|Z_{ba}\|} Z_{ba} \tag{17}$$

$$J\hat{\eta}_{ab} = \frac{e^{i\phi_{ab}}}{\|Z_{ab}\|} g_a^\dagger z_{ab} =: \frac{e^{i\phi_{ab}}}{\|Z_{ab}\|} Z_{ab} \tag{18}$$

$$\hat{\eta}_{ab} = e^{i\theta} \xi_{ab} \tag{19}$$

$$\beta_{ab}(\{g\}) n^i_{\xi_{ab}} \mathrm{tr}\left(g_{ab} g_{ab}^\dagger \sigma_i \right) > 0 \tag{20}$$

for all $a < b$, for some set of phases ϕ_{ab}, ϕ_{ba} and θ. The variation of $\tilde{S}$ with respect to the group elements g_a gives the closure condition on the boundary state, as in [18, 21].

These equations determine $[z_{ab}], [\eta_{ab}] \in \mathbb{CP}^1$, and g_a uniquely [18].[6] Furthermore, the final critical point equation, from varying j_{ab}, gives

$$\mathrm{j}_{ab} = (\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab} \tag{21}$$

---

[5] In the asymptotic limit, each sum over j's in the expression (14) becomes an integral with almost everywhere smooth integrand, so that stationary phase can later be applied. Note, this is precisely where the phase convention for the coherent intertwiners $\Upsilon(\{\vec{n}_{ab}\})$ becomes important: Without this phase convention, the sum over j's would not approach a continuous integral, so that stationary phase would not be applicable [23].

[6] Note that we have gauge-fixed the symmetry in the g_a's with the factor $\delta(g_0)$, as usual.

so that *there is exactly one critical point of this action*. It follows that the asymptotic expression for each integral in (16) will consist in only a single term.

The asymptotics can be evaluated using the extended stationary phase method. As there is only one critical point, the asymptotic expression becomes [10, 11]

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^4}G^{abcd+}_{mn}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}(H^{-1}(x_o))^{ij}\frac{\partial\tilde{q}^{ab}_n(x_o)}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial\tilde{q}^{cd}_m(x_o)}{\partial x^j} + \text{higher order terms} \tag{22}$$

where H is the Hessian of the asymptotic action $\tilde{S}$, $x^i = \{\mathrm{j}_{ab}, g_a, z_{ab}, \eta_{ab}\}$ and x_o is the one critical point of $\tilde{S}$ (17-21).

Note that if we define

$$\tilde{S}^o_\Pi := 2\sum_{a<b}\mathrm{j}_{ab}\log(x_{ab}+y_{ab}) = 2\sum_{a<b}\mathrm{j}_{ab}\log\langle\hat{\eta}_{ab},\xi_{ab}\rangle,$$

then in a neighborhood of the critical point we have

$$\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}^o := \tilde{S}_\psi - i\sum_{a<b}\Theta_{ab}\mathrm{j}_{ab} + \tilde{S}_{EPRL} + 2\sum_{a<b}\mathrm{j}_{ab}\log\langle\hat{\eta}_{ab},\xi_{ab}\rangle \tag{23}$$

giving us an expression for the action that can be used to calculate the Hessian in the asymptotic formula.

4 Hessian of the proper vertex: Calculation

Calculating the Hessian of the asymptotic action is crucial in studying the asymptotics of the spinfoam propagator and also the asymptotics of the spinfoam vertex amplitude. In this section we evaluate the Hessian for the proper action at the critical point satisfying (17-21).

All second derivatives of the first three terms in (23) with respect to $\mathrm{j}_{ab}, g_a, z_{ab}$ were calculated in [11]. To complete the calculation of the Hessian, it remains to calculate all second derivatives of $\tilde{S}_{EPRL}$ involving η_{ab}, and all second derivatives of $\tilde{S}^o_\Pi$. This is carried out in this section.

4.1 Derivatives of $\tilde{S}_{EPRL}$ with respect to η and one other variable

To calculate the derivatives in the Hessian for use in the stationary phase theorem, it is necessary to choose a section of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ (and coordinates thereon) for each variable η_{ab} and z_{ab}, as well as a coordinate system on $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ for each variable g_a. However, the specific form of the section for each z_{ab} and the coordinate system for each g_a turns out not to be needed for any of the results of this paper, so we leave them general. Derivatives with respect to these general coordinates we denote by $\delta^i_{z_{ab}}$, with $i = 1, 2$, and $\delta^i_{g_a}$, with $i = 1, \ldots 6$ and the index i sometimes suppressed. For each variable η_{ab}, we introduce a section and complex coordinate ζ_{ab}, with $|\zeta_{ab}| \leq 1$, defined by

$$\eta_{ab} = \sqrt{1-|\zeta_{ab}|^2}\xi_{ab} + \zeta_{ab}J\xi_{ab}. \tag{24}$$

The calculation of derivatives with respect to the η's then reduces to taking holomorphic and anti-holomorphic derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\zeta}}$.

We begin by noting

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle = -\frac{\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}{2\sqrt{1-\zeta_{ab}\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}}\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle - \langle\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle = -\frac{\zeta_{ab}}{2\sqrt{1-\zeta_{ab}\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}}\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{EPRL} = -\mathrm{j}_{ab}\frac{\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}{\sqrt{1-\zeta_{ab}\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}}\frac{\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle} - 2\mathrm{j}_{ab}\frac{\langle \xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle} \tag{25}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{EPRL} = -\mathrm{j}_{ab}\frac{\zeta_{ab}}{\sqrt{1-\zeta_{ab}\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}}\frac{\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle} \tag{26}$$

Noting that, at the critical point $\langle \xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle = 0$ and $\zeta_{ab} = 0$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathrm{j}_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{EPRL}\bigg|_{\text{crit. pt.}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\mathrm{j}_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{EPRL}\bigg|_{\text{crit. pt.}} = 0$$

Next, from (25-26), we calculate the mixed $\delta_g\delta_\eta$ derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{g_a}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{EPRL} &= -\mathrm{j}_{ab}\frac{\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}{\sqrt{1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}}\delta_{g_a}\left(\frac{\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}\right) \\
&\qquad - 2\mathrm{j}_{ab}\left(\frac{\langle (\delta g_a)\xi_{ab}, z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle} - \frac{\langle \xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle^2}\langle (\delta g_a) J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle\right) \\
\delta_{g_a}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{EPRL} &= -\mathrm{j}_{ab}\frac{\zeta_{ab}}{\sqrt{1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}}\delta_{g_a}\left(\frac{\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluating at the critical point gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\delta_{g_a}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{\mathrm{EPRL}}\bigg|_{\text{crit. pt.}} &= -2\mathrm{j}_{ab}\langle (g_a^{-1}\delta g_a)\xi_{ab}, J\xi_{ab}\rangle \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}\delta_{g_a}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{\mathrm{EPRL}}\bigg|_{\text{crit. pt.}} &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

The second derivative $\delta_{\eta_{ab}}\delta_g\tilde{S}^{ab}_{\mathrm{EPRL}}$ for variations δ_g of any group elements other than g_a is trivially zero. The mixed derivatives $\delta_\eta\delta_z$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{z_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{\mathrm{EPRL}} &= -\mathrm{j}_{ab}\frac{\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}{\sqrt{1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}}\delta_{z_{ab}}\left(\frac{\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}\right) \\
&\qquad - 2\mathrm{j}_{ab}\left(\frac{\langle g_a\xi_{ab}, \delta z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle} - \frac{\langle \xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle^2}\langle g_a J\eta_{ab}, \delta z_{ab}\rangle\right) \\
\delta_{z_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{\mathrm{EPRL}} &= -\mathrm{j}_{ab}\frac{\zeta_{ab}}{\sqrt{1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}}\delta_{z_{ab}}\left(\frac{\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluating at the critical point yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{z_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{\mathrm{EPRL}}\bigg|_{\text{crit. pt.}} &= -2(\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab}\frac{\langle g_a\xi_{ab}, \delta z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle} \\
\delta_{z_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{\mathrm{EPRL}}\bigg|_{\text{crit. pt.}} &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

The last to evaluate are the derivatives $\delta_\eta\delta_\eta$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{EPRL} = -\mathrm{j}_{ab}\frac{\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}\frac{\overline{\zeta}^2_{ab}}{2(1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab})^{3/2}}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{EPRL} = -\mathrm{j}_{ab}\frac{\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}\left(\frac{1}{(1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab})^{1/2}} + \frac{\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}{2(1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab})^{3/2}}\right)$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{EPRL} = -\mathrm{j}_{ab}\frac{\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}\frac{\zeta^2_{ab}}{2(1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab})^{3/2}}$$

At the critical point $\zeta_{ab} = 0$, $\langle J\eta_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle = \langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle = 1$ and $\mathrm{j}_{ab} = (\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab}$, so the only non-zero term is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\zeta}_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\tilde{S}^{ab}_{EPRL}\bigg|_{\text{crit. pt.}} = -(\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab}$$

4.2 Derivatives of $\tilde{S}^o_\Pi$

We begin by writing $\tilde{S}^o_\Pi$ in terms of the coordinates ζ_{ab}:

$$\tilde{S}^o_\Pi = 2\sum_{a<b}\mathrm{j}_{ab}\log\langle\hat{\eta}_{ab}, \xi_{ab}\rangle = \sum_{a<b}\mathrm{j}_{ab}\log(1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta_{ab}})$$

One then readily calculates

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathrm{j}_{ab}}\tilde{S}^o_\Pi = \frac{-\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}{1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathrm{j}_{ab}}\tilde{S}^o_\Pi = \frac{-\zeta_{ab}}{1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\tilde{S}^o_\Pi = \frac{-\mathrm{j}_{ab}\overline{\zeta}^2_{ab}}{(1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab})^2}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\tilde{S}^o_\Pi = \frac{-\mathrm{j}_{ab}}{(1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab})^2}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\tilde{S}^o_\Pi = \frac{-\mathrm{j}_{ab}\zeta^2_{ab}}{(1-\zeta_{ab}\overline{\zeta}_{ab})^2}$$

for all a, b, with all other second derivatives of $\tilde{S}^o_\Pi$ zero. Evaluating these using the critical point equations $\zeta_{ab} = 0$ and $\mathrm{j}_{ab} = (\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab}$, only one second derivative of $\tilde{S}^o_\Pi$ is non-zero:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\tilde{S}^o_\Pi\bigg|_{\text{crit. pt.}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\tilde{S}^o_\Pi\bigg|_{\text{crit. pt.}} = -(\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab}.$$

4.3 Hessian

Bringing the above results together, we can write the Hessian as a $(10+24+20+10+10)\times(10+24+20+10+10)$ matrix:

$$H(x_o) := S''(x_o) = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{\mathrm{jj}} & 0_{10\times24} & 0_{10\times20} & 0_{10\times10} & 0_{10\times10} \\ 0_{24\times10} & H_{gg} & H_{gz} & H_{g\zeta} & 0_{24\times10} \\ 0_{20\times10} & H_{zg} & H_{zz} & H_{z\zeta} & 0_{20\times10} \\ 0_{10\times10} & H_{\zeta g} & H_{\zeta z} & 0_{10\times10} & H_{\zeta\bar{\zeta}} \\ 0_{10\times10} & 0_{10\times24} & 0_{10\times20} & H_{\bar{\zeta}\zeta} & 0_{10\times10} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\mathrm{jj}} &= Q^{\mathrm{BD}}_{\mathrm{jj}} \\
H_{gg} &= H^{\mathrm{BD}}_{gg} \\
H_{gz} &= H^{\mathrm{BD}}_{gz} \\
H_{zz} &= H^{\mathrm{BD}}_{zz}
\end{aligned}
$$

are the Hessian components calculated by Bianchi and Ding [11]. $H_{g\zeta}$, $H_{z\zeta}$, and $H_{\zeta\bar{\zeta}}$ are respectively given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{g\zeta}_{(ai)(ab)} &= -2(\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab}\langle (g_a^{-1}\delta^i g_a)\xi_{ab}, J\xi_{ab}\rangle \\
H^{z\zeta}_{(ab)(cd)} &= -2(\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab}\frac{\langle g_a\xi_{ab}, \delta z_{ab}\rangle}{\langle J\xi_{ab}, Z_{ab}\rangle}\delta_{ac}\delta_{bd} \\
H^{\zeta\bar{\zeta}}_{(ab)(cd)} &= -2(\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab}\delta_{ac}\delta_{bd}.
\end{aligned}
$$

5 Graviton propagator and its $\gamma \to 0$ limit.

According to (22), calculation of the graviton propagator requires us to invert the Hessian matrix calculated in the last section. Doing this exactly is a non-trivial task. However, as was done in the work [11], we consider the $\gamma \to 0$ limit [22], in which case the Hessian matrix simplifies greatly. Such a limit can be motivated by considering the limit in which, as λ becomes large, the eigenvalues of areas, which are proportional to $\gamma\lambda$, stay the same, so that $\gamma \to 0$ at the same time. This is a limit often considered [10, 11, 22, 24, 25].

According to the results in [11] and the results in the Hessian section 4, all the nonvanishing elements of the Hessian at critical points are independent of γ except Q^{BD}_{jj}, which is linear in γ. If we write the Hessian in the form:

$$
H(x_o) = \begin{pmatrix} Q^{BD}_{\mathrm{jj}} & 0_{10\times 64} \\ 0_{64\times 10} & H^R \end{pmatrix}
$$

then its inverse is

$$
(H(x_o))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(Q^{BD}_{\mathrm{jj}}\right)^{-1} & 0_{10\times 64} \\ 0_{64\times 10} & (H^R)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{27}
$$

The $\tilde{q}^{ab}_n$ calculated using the proper action in this paper, equation (11), turn out to be the same as the q^{ab}_n obtained in [11]. Consequently their derivatives $\delta_{\bar{z}_{an}}\tilde{q}^{ab}_n|_{\mathrm{crit}}$, $\delta_{g_a}\tilde{q}^{ab}_n|_{\mathrm{crit}}$, $\delta_{\mathrm{j}_{cd}}\tilde{q}^{ab}_n|_{\mathrm{crit}}$ evaluated at critical points (17-21) are the same and they scale as γ^2 and $\delta_{z_{an}}\tilde{q}^{ab}_n = 0$. Here we have two more sets of variables ζ and $\bar{\zeta}$, but $\tilde{q}^{ab}_n$ does not depend on these variables: $\delta_\zeta\tilde{q}^{ab}_n = \delta_{\bar{\zeta}}\tilde{q}^{ab}_n = 0$. Using the derivatives above and the matrix (27) in the asymptotic formula (22) yields the same results as [11]

$$
G^{abcd}_{nm} = \lambda^3 \sum_{p,q,r,s} \left(Q^{BD}_{(pq)(rs)}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial\tilde{q}^{ab}_n}{\partial \mathrm{j}_{pq}} \frac{\partial\tilde{q}^{cd}_m}{\partial \mathrm{j}_{rs}} + o(\gamma^3) + o(\lambda^3) \tag{28}
$$

where $f = o(g)$ if f/g vanishes in the limit $\lambda \to \infty$ and $\gamma \to 0$. In this limit the propagator is asymptotic to

$$
G^{abcd}_{nm} \sim \lambda^3 \sum_{p,q,r,s} \left(Q^{BD}_{(pq)(rs)}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial\tilde{q}^{ab}_n}{\partial \mathrm{j}_{pq}} \frac{\partial\tilde{q}^{cd}_m}{\partial \mathrm{j}_{rs}}.
$$

6 Coefficient in the asymptotics of the proper vertex

Lastly, we use the calculation of the Hessian to find the large spin limit of the proper vertex amplitude, including the overall coefficient. From (5) we have

$$A_\nu^+ = c \int_{SL(2,\mathbb{C})} \left(\prod_{a=0}^{4} dg_a \right) \delta(g_0) \int_{(\mathbb{CP}^1)^{10}} \prod_{a<b} d\mu_{z_{ab}} \prod_{a<b} d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{ab}} e^{S_{prop}}.$$

As before, we set $j_{ab} = \lambda \mathrm{j}_{ab}$ and consider the $\lambda \to \infty$ limit. According to the argument in [18] and in section 3.2, in this limit the integrand can be replaced by its asymptotic form:

$$A_\nu^+ \sim c \int_{SL(2,\mathbb{C})} \left(\prod_{a=0}^{4} dg_a \right) \delta(g_0) \int_{(\mathbb{CP}^1)^{10}} \left(\prod_{a<b} d\mu_{z_{ab}} d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{ab}} \right) \tilde{f} e^{\lambda \tilde{S}_{prop}}$$

where $\tilde{S}_{prop} := \tilde{S}_{EPRL} + \tilde{S}_\Pi$. Using the fact that $\tilde{f}$ is constant and equal to 1 in a neighborhood of all critical points, the stationary phase method gives us

$$A_v^+ \sim \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \right)^{32} \left(\det(-H^R(x_o)) \right)^{-1/2} \left(\prod_{a=1}^{4} [d\mu_{g_a}^{\mathrm{Haar}}] \prod_{a<b} [d\mu_{z_{ab}}] [d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{ab}}] \right)_{x=x_o} \cdot e^{\lambda \tilde{S}_{prop}(x_o)} \tag{29}$$

where $[d\mu]$ denotes the representation of a given measure $d\mu$ in the chosen coordinate system $\{x_i\}$ on the integration domain, in the sense that $d\mu = [d\mu] \prod_i dx_i$, and where x_o is the critical point. We need to calculate the determinant of the Hessian H^R of $\tilde{S}_{prop}$ with respect to g_a, z_{ab}, and η_{ab}, at x_o. Since $\tilde{S} - \tilde{S}_{prop} = \tilde{S}_\psi$ is independent of these variables, the derivatives of $\tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{S}_{prop}$ with respect to them are the same, so that

$$H^R(x_o) = \begin{pmatrix} H^{BD}_{gg} & H^{BD}_{gz} & H^{BD}_{g\bar{z}} & H_{g\zeta} & 0_{24\times 10} \\ H^{BD}_{zg} & H^{BD}_{zz} & H^{BD}_{z\bar{z}} & H_{z\zeta} & 0_{10\times 10} \\ H^{BD}_{\bar{z}g} & H^{BD}_{\bar{z}z} & H^{BD}_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} & 0_{10\times 10} & 0_{10\times 10} \\ H_{\zeta g} & H_{\zeta z} & 0_{10\times 10} & 0_{10\times 10} & H_{\zeta\bar{\zeta}} \\ 0_{10\times 10} & 0_{10\times 10} & 0_{10\times 10} & H_{\bar{\zeta}\zeta} & 0_{10\times 10} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{30}$$

The Hessian relevant for the asymptotics of the original EPRL vertex amplitude is

$$H^{EPRL} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{BD}_{gg} & H^{BD}_{gz} & H^{BD}_{g\bar{z}} \\ H^{BD}_{zg} & H^{BD}_{zz} & H^{BD}_{z\bar{z}} \\ H^{BD}_{\bar{z}g} & H^{BD}_{\bar{z}z} & H^{BD}_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}. \end{pmatrix}$$

Applying to (30) the usual formula [26] for the determinant of block matrices, together with the skew symmetry of the determinant under exchange of columns, yields

$$\det(-H^R(x_o)) = (\det(-H_{\zeta\bar{\zeta}}))^2 \det(-H^{EPRL}(x_o)) \tag{31}$$

with

$$\det(-H_{\zeta\bar{\zeta}}) = \prod_{a<b} 2(\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab} = 2^{10} \prod_{a<b} (\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab}.$$

In the asymptotic expression (29), the correct branch of the square root of $\det(-H^R(x_o))$ must be deduced from the prescription described in [27]. This prescription is given in terms of real coordinates on the integration manifold: in this case it turns out to consist in taking the product of the square root of each eigenvalue of minus the Hessian H, with branch cut along the negative real axis. (None of the eigenvalues of $-H$ lie on the negative real axis because the real part of $-H$ is always

positive definite when using real coordinates.) In our case, some of the coordinates are complex, and we have calculated $-H^R(x_o)$ using holomorphic and anti-holomorphic derivatives. By using the relation between holomorphic and antiholomorphic derivatives, and derivatives with respect to real and imaginary parts, one finds the correct square root of (31) to be

$$\sqrt{\det(-H^R(x_o))} = -2^{10}\left(\prod_{a<b} \mathrm{j}_{ab}\right)\sqrt{\det(-H^{EPRL}(x_o))}.$$

The projector part of the action vanishes at critical points so $\tilde{S}_{prop}(x_o) = \tilde{S}_{EPRL}(x_0)$. Furthermore, in terms of the coordinates ζ_{ab}, $\overline{\zeta}_{ab}$, $d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{ab}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{ab}} &= \frac{d_{j_{ab}}}{\pi}\frac{i}{2}\left(\epsilon_{AB}\eta^A_{ab}d\eta^B_{ab}\right)\wedge\left(\epsilon_{AB}\overline{\eta}^A_{ab}d\overline{\eta}^B_{ab}\right) \\ &\underset{x_o}{=} \frac{d_{j_{ab}}}{\pi}\frac{i}{2}d\zeta_{ab}\wedge d\overline{\zeta}_{ab}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\prod_{a<b}[d\tilde{\mu}_{\eta_{ab}}] \underset{x_o}{=} \prod_{a<b}\left(\frac{i(2j_{ab}+1)}{2\pi}\right) \sim -\left(\frac{\lambda}{\pi}\right)\pi^{10}\prod_{a<b}\mathrm{j}_{ab} \underset{x_o}{=} -\left(\frac{\lambda}{\pi}\right)\pi^{10}\prod_{a<b}(\mathrm{j}_o)_{ab}.$$

Therefore (29) becomes

$$A_v^+ \sim \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)^{22}(\det(-H_{EPRL}(x_o)))^{-1/2}\left(\prod_{a=1}^{4}\left[d\mu^{\mathrm{Haar}}_{g_a}\right]\prod_{a<b}[d\mu_{z_{ab}}]\right)_{x=x_o}\cdot e^{\lambda\tilde{S}_{EPRL}(x_o)}$$

which is identical to the term in the asymptotics of the EPRL vertex amplitude corresponding to the Einstein-Hilbert sector, including overall coefficient.

Remark: In the above argument we have used the asymptotic action in the stationary phase formula. If one instead uses the exact action, the answer does not change, as both of these actions, as well as their Hessians, are equal at the critical point, as is shown in appendix A.

7 Discussion

In this paper we have studied the connected two-point correlation function for metric operators — also called the graviton propagator — in the recently introduced proper spin-foam model. To perform the analysis, we defined the correlation function in the boundary amplitude formalism using the same semi-classical boundary state as in [11], and evaluating to lowest order in the vertex expansion. We then considered the asymptotic limit of large spins $j \to \infty$ up to the leading order. This limit was investigated using extended stationary phase methods which necessitated calculation of the Hessian of the proper vertex. This calculation comprised the main task of this work. Then we examined the limit of $\gamma \to 0$ and found that in this limit our result exactly matches the graviton propagator of the EPRL model calculated in [11], and therefore also matches the graviton propagator calculated using linearized quantum gravity. This provides one check on the validity of the proper spin-foam model in the weak curvature regime.

Calculation of the Hessian also allowed us to complete the asymptotic analysis of the proper vertex [18]. Specifically we were able to evaluate the overall coefficient arising in the semi-classical limit. This factor matches exactly the factor appearing in the semi-classical limit of the EPRL vertex [21] in front of the term corresponding to the Einstein-Hilbert sector.

In this work we estimated the two-point correlation function for a single spin-foam vertex. The obvious direction for future work is to extend the analysis using the proper vertex to the case of multiple vertices. Since the proper vertex amplitude has the correct semi-classical limit, we believe this calculation will again be consistent with linearized quantum gravity in the appropriate regime. Specifically, we expect the proper vertex to remove unphysical contributions to the semi-classical limit which would otherwise be generated by the extra terms in the EPRL vertex.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Chris Beetle for helpful suggestions used in appendix A. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation through grants PHY-1205968 and PHY-1505490.

A Equality of Hessians of exact and asymptotic actions of the proper vertex

In this appendix we show that the second derivatives of S_Π with respect to g_a and η_{ab} are equal to those of $\lambda\tilde{S}_\Pi$ when evaluated at the critical point. This will establish that the Hessian of the exact and asymptotic actions, S_{prop} and $\lambda(\tilde{S}_{\text{EPRL}}+\tilde{S}_\Pi)$, with respect to g_a and η_{ab}, are equal at the critical point.

A.1 Calculation of derivatives of the projector action with respect to g

The projector action is given by

$$S_\Pi[\{g_a,\eta_{ab}\}]=\sum_{a<b}\log\langle j_{ab},\eta_{ab}|\Pi_{ab}\left(\{g_a\}\right)|j_{ab},\xi_{ab}\rangle$$

where we use the bra-ket notation $|j_{ab},\xi_{ab}\rangle$ for the coherent state $C^{j_{ab}}_{\xi_{ab}}$

The calculation of these derivatives requires varying the projector Π_{ab} with respect to the group variables. To do that we write the spectral projector as:

$$\Pi_{ab}=\Pi_{(0,\infty)}\left[\beta_{ab}(g)n_{ab}(g)\cdot L\right]$$

where the normal n is defined as:

$$n^i_{ab}(g)=\frac{\text{tr}\left(\sigma^i g_{ab}g^\dagger_{ab}\right)}{\left|\text{tr}\left(\sigma^i g_{ab}g^\dagger_{ab}\right)\right|}$$

with $g_{ab}=g_a^{-1}g_b$.

Since $\beta_{ab}(g)$ is a sign function, its variation at the critical point does not contribute to the variation of the projection operator. Let δ be any variation with respect to the group elements and let $g(t)$ be a path in $SL(2,\mathbb{C})^5$ such that $\delta=\frac{dg}{dt}|_{t=0}$. Note that

$$\Pi_{ab}(g(t))=r_{ab}(t)\Pi_{ab}(g(0))r^{-1}_{ab}(t)$$

for some $r_{ab}(t)\in SU(2)$. It follows that the variation of the projector is given by:

$$\delta\Pi_{ab}(g)=\frac{d}{dt}\Pi_{ab}(g(t))\Big|_{t=0}=[\delta r,\Pi_{ab}(g(0))]=:i[v_iL^i,\Pi_{ab}(g(0))] \tag{32}$$

for some v_i. Let δ' be any other variation with respect to the group elements with $\delta'\Pi_{ab} =: i[v'_i L^i, \Pi_{ab}]$. Then the second variation of the projector action is:

$$\delta'\delta S_\Pi = -\sum_{a<b} \frac{\langle j_{ab}, \eta_{ab}|[v_i L^i, [v'_j L^j, \Pi_{ab}(g(0))]]|j_{ab}, \xi_{ab}\rangle}{\langle j_{ab}, \eta_{ab}|\Pi_{ab}(g)|j_{ab}, \xi_{ab}\rangle} + \sum_{a<b} \frac{\langle j_{ab}, \eta_{ab}|[v_i L^i, \Pi_{ab}(g(0))]|j_{ab}, \xi_{ab}\rangle \langle j_{ab}, \eta_{ab}|[v'_j L^j, \Pi_{ab}(g(0))]|j_{ab}, \xi_{ab}\rangle}{\langle j_{ab}, \eta_{ab}|\Pi_{ab}(g)|j_{ab}, \xi_{ab}\rangle^2}$$

At the critical point, however, $[\eta_{ab}] = [\xi_{ab}]$ and $\Pi_{ab}(g)$ acts as the identity on the corresponding coherent state, so

$$\langle j_{ab}, \eta_{ab}|[v_i L^i, \Pi_{ab}(g(0))]|j_{ab}, \xi_{ab}\rangle\Big|_{\text{crit. pt.}} = 0 \tag{33}$$

Next, define for each spinor ξ the $SU(2)$ element [21]

$$g(\xi) := \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\xi}_0 & -\overline{\hat{\xi}_1} \\ \hat{\xi}_1 & \overline{\hat{\xi}_0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\hat{\xi} := \xi/||\xi||$, and define

$$|\xi; j, m\rangle := g(\xi)|j, m\rangle$$

where $|j, m\rangle$, as usual, are the states satisfying $J_z|j, m\rangle = m|j, m\rangle$. Note that

$$(v \cdot L)\,|j_{ab}, \xi_{ab}\rangle = (v \cdot L)|\xi_{ab}; j_{ab}, j_{ab}\rangle = \alpha_v\,|\xi_{ab}; j_{ab}, j_{ab}\rangle + \beta_v\,|\xi_{ab}; j_{ab}, j_{ab} - 1\rangle$$

for some α_v and β_v and likewise for $v' \cdot L$. Furthermore, at the critical point, for $j > 1$ (which holds in the limit which interests us), $\Pi_{ab}(g)$ acts as the identity on both $|\xi_{ab}; j_{ab}, j_{ab}\rangle$ and $|\xi_{ab}; j_{ab}, j_{ab} - 1\rangle$. These facts imply

$$\langle j_{ab}, \eta_{ab}|[v_i L^i, [v'_j L^j, \Pi_{ab}(g(0))]]|j_{ab}, \xi_{ab}\rangle\Big|_{\text{crit. pt.}} = 0.$$

We therefore conclude that

$$\delta'\delta S_\Pi\Big|_{\text{crit. pt.}} = 0$$

for all variations δ, δ' of the g_a's.

A.2 Calculation of derivatives of the projector action with respect to η

We begin with the mixed $\delta_g\delta_\eta$ derivatives. From (32),

$$\delta_g S^{ab}_\Pi = i\frac{\left\langle j_{ab}, \eta_{ab}\right| \left[v_i L^i, \Pi_{ab}\right] \left|j_{ab}, \xi_{ab}\right\rangle}{\langle j_{ab}, \eta_{ab}|\Pi_{ab}|j_{ab}, \xi_{ab}\rangle}$$

for some $v^i \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Because the coherent states $|j_{ab}, \eta_{ab}\rangle$, for any fixed j_{ab}, are all related by the action of some $SU(2)$ element, it follows that, for any real variation δ_η of η, there exist $(v')^i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\delta_\eta|j_{ab}, \eta_{ab}\rangle = i(v' \cdot L)|j_{ab}, \eta_{ab}\rangle$$

so that

$$\delta_\eta\delta_g S_\Pi^{ab} = \frac{\langle j_{ab},\eta_{ab}\,|v'\cdot L\,[v\cdot L,\Pi_{ab}]|\,j_{ab},\xi_{ab}\rangle}{\langle j_{ab},\eta_{ab}|\Pi_{ab}|j_{ab},\xi_{ab}\rangle} - \frac{\langle j_{ab},\eta_{ab}\,|[v\cdot L,\Pi_{ab}]|\,j_{ab},\xi_{ab}\rangle\,\langle j_{ab},\eta_{ab}\,|v'\cdot L|\,j_{ab},\xi_{ab}\rangle}{\langle j_{ab},\eta_{ab}|\Pi_{ab}|j_{ab},\xi_{ab}\rangle^2}.$$

Using the same arguments as in A.1, we conclude that, at the critical point,

$$\delta_\eta\delta_g S_\Pi\Big|_{\text{crit. pt.}} = 0$$

for all variations δ_η, δ_g of the η's and g's.

Finally, to calculate $\delta'_\eta\delta_\eta S_\Pi$, we will need the explicit section of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ and coordinate ζ_{ab} thereon introduced in equation (24). First, recall that [28]

$$|j_{ab},\eta_{ab}\rangle = \otimes^{2j_{ab}}|\eta_{ab}\rangle. \tag{34}$$

Upon taking derivatives of (24) and evaluating at the critical point ($\zeta_{ab}=0$, $\eta_{ab}=\xi_{ab}$), we find that the first derivatives and only non-zero second derivatives are

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\eta_{ab}(\zeta)\Big|_{\text{crit. pt.}} &= J\xi_{ab}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\eta_{ab}(\zeta)\Big|_{\text{crit. pt.}} &= 0\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\eta_{ab}(\zeta)\Big|_{\text{crit. pt.}} &= -\frac{1}{2}\xi_{ab}
\end{aligned}$$

with adjoints

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\langle\eta_{ab}|\Big|_{\text{crit. pt.}} &= \langle J\xi_{ab}|\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\langle\eta_{ab}|\Big|_{\text{crit. pt.}} &= 0\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}\langle\eta_{ab}|\Big|_{\text{crit. pt.}} &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle\xi_{ab}|.
\end{aligned}$$

These relations may then be used to evaluate the second derivatives of

$$S^\Pi = \sum_{a<b}\log\langle j_{ab},\eta_{ab}|\Pi_{ab}(g)|j_{ab},\xi_{ab}\rangle$$

at the critical point. Additionally using the fact that $\Pi_{ab}(g)$ acts on $|j_{ab},\xi\rangle$ as the identity at the critical point, the form (34) for coherent states, as well as the orthogonality of ξ_{ab} and $J\xi_{ab}$, one finds the only non-zero second derivatives of S^Π at the critical point to be

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}_{ab}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_{ab}}S^\Pi = -j_{ab} = -\lambda\mathfrak{j}_{ab}.$$

References

[1] L. Freidel and K. Krasnov "A new spin foam model for 4d gravity" *Class. Quant. Grav.* **25** (2008) 125018.

[2] J. Engle, E. Livine, R. Pereira, and C. Rovelli "LQG vertex with finite Immirzi parameter" *Nucl. Phys. B* **799** (2008) 136–149.

[3] W. Kamiński, M. Kisielowski, and J. Lewandowski "Spin-foams for all loop quantum gravity" *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27** (2010) 095006.

[4] J. Engle "The Plebanski sectors of the EPRL vertex" *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28** (2011) 225003. Corrigendum: *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30** (2013) 049501.

[5] M. Christodoulou, M. Långvik, A. Riello, C. Röken, and C. Rovelli "Divergences and Orientation in Spinfoams" *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30** (2013) 055009.

[6] J. Engle "A proposed proper EPRL vertex amplitude" *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 084048.

[7] J. Engle "A spin-foam vertex amplitude with the correct semiclassical limit" *Phys. Lett. B* **724** (2013) 333–337.

[8] L. M. Krauss and F. Wilczek "From b-modes to quantum gravity and unification of forces" *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **23** (2014) 1441001.

[9] BICEP2 collaboration "Detection of B-Mode Polarization at Degree Angular Scales by BICEP2" *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **112** (2014) 241101.

[10] E. Bianchi, E. Magliaro, and C. Perini "LQG propagator from the new spin foams" *Nucl.Phys. B* **822** (2009) 245–269.

[11] E. Bianchi and Y. Ding "Lorentzian spinfoam propagator" *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 104040.

[12] C. Rovelli "Graviton propagator from background-independent quantum gravity" *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 151301.

[13] E. Bianchi, L. Modesto, C. Rovelli, and S. Speziale "Graviton propagator in loop quantum gravity" *Class.Quant.Grav.* **23** (2006) 6989–7028.

[14] E. Alesci and C. Rovelli "The Complete LQG propagator. I. Difficulties with the Barrett-Crane vertex" *Phys.Rev. D* **76** (2007) 104012.

[15] E. Alesci and C. Rovelli "The Complete LQG propagator. II. Asymptotic behavior of the vertex" *Phys.Rev. D* **77** (2008) 044024.

[16] E. Alesci, E. Bianchi, and C. Rovelli "LQG propagator: III. The New vertex" *Class.Quant.Grav.* **26** (2009) 215001.

[17] J. Engle and A. Zipfel "The Lorentzian proper vertex amplitude: Classical analysis and quantum derivation" *arXiv:1502.04640* (2015).

[18] J. Engle, I. Vilensky, and A. Zipfel "The Lorentzian proper vertex amplitude: Asymptotics" *arXiv:1505.06683* (2015).

[19] A. Chaharsough Shirazi and J. Engle "Purely geometric path integral for spin foams" *Class.Quant.Grav.* **31** (2014) 075010.

[20] J. Engle, M. Han, and T. Thiemann "Canonical path integral measures for Holst and Plebanski gravity. I. Reduced phase space derivation" *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27** (2009) 235024.

[21] J. Barrett, R. Dowdall, W. Fairbairn, F. Hellmann, and R. Pereira "Lorentzian spin foam amplitudes: Graphical calculus and asymptotics" *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27** (2010) 165009.

[22] E. Magliaro and C. Perini "Regge gravity from spinfoams" *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **22** (2013) 1350001.

[23] E. Bianchi *private communication* (2015).

[24] E. Magliaro and C. Perini "Emergence of gravity from spinfoams" *Europhys. Lett.* **95** (2011) 30007.

[25] M. Bojowald "The Semiclassical limit of loop quantum cosmology" *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18** (2001) L109–L116.

[26] P. D. Powell "Calculating determinants of block matrices" *arXiv:1112.4379* (2011).

[27] L. Hörmander *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I: Distribution Theory and Fourier Analysis*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag (1983).

[28] J. Barrett, R. Dowdall, W. Fairbairn, H. Gomes, and F. Hellmann "Asymptotic analysis of the EPRL four-simplex amplitude" *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009) 112504.